

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:  
JOSEPH C. REDMOND, JR.  
C/O MORGAN & FINNEGAN, LLP  
3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER  
NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101

## PCT

INVITATION TO PAY ADDITIONAL FEES  
AND, WHERE APPLICABLE, PROTEST FEE

(PCT Article 17(3)(a) and Rule 40.1 and 40.2(e))

Date of Mailing  
(day/month/year) 24 April 2006 (24.04.2006)

Applicant's or agent's file reference

4208-4047PC1

**PAYMENT DUE**

within **ONE MONTH** from  
the above date of mailing

International application No.

PCT/US05/09066

International filing date

(day/month/year) 18 March 2005 (18.03.2005)

Applicant

NOKIA CORPORATION

1. This International Searching Authority

(i) considers that there are 2 (number of) inventions claimed in the international application covered by the claims indicated below/on an extra sheet:  
Please See Continuation Sheet

(ii) therefore considers that **the international application does not comply with the requirement of unity of invention** (Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3) for the reasons indicated below/on an extra sheet:  
Please See Continuation Sheet

(iii) ☐ has carried out a partial international search (see Annex) ☒ will establish the international search report on those parts of the international application which relate to the invention first mentioned in claims Nos.:

(iv) will establish the international search report on the other parts of the international application only if, and to the extent to which, additional fees are paid.

2. Consequently, the applicant is hereby **invited to pay**, within the time limit indicated above, to pay the amount indicated below:

\$1,000.00 X 1 = \$1,000.00  
Fee per additional invention number of additional inventions total amount of additional fees/currency

3. The applicant is informed that, according to Rule 40.2(c), **the payment of any additional fees may be made under protest**, that is, a reasoned statement to the effect that the international application complies with the requirement of unity of invention or

that the amount of the required additional fees is excessive, where applicable, subject to the payment of a protest fee.

Where the applicant pays additional fees under protest, the applicant is hereby invited, within the time limit indicated above, to pay a protest fee (Rule 40.2(e)) in the amount of \_\_\_\_\_ (amount/currency)

Where the applicant has not, within the time limit indicated above, paid the required protest fee, the protest will be considered not to have been made and the International Searching Authority will so declare.

4. ☐ Claim(s) Nos. \_\_\_\_\_ have been found to be unsearchable under

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US

Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201

Authorized officer

Eugene Yun

Telephone No. (571) 272-7860

Form PCT/ISA/206 (April 2005)

CASE 09208-4047PC1 ATTY SCR

DUE

May 24, 2006 (pay protest fee)

mo. call-up

BY

DM

**INVITATION TO PAY ADDITIONAL FEES  
AND, WHERE APPLICABLE, PROTEST FEE**

International application No.  
PCT/US05/09066

This International Search Authority has found 2 inventions claimed in the International Application covered by the claims indicated below:

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In order for all inventions to be examined, the appropriate additional examination fees must be paid.

Group I, claim(s) 1-51, drawn to short range communications.

Group II, claim(s) 52-55, drawn to frequency identification transponders.

1. This International Searching Authority considers that the international application does not comply with the requirements of unity of invention (Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3) for the reasons indicated below:  
The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Group II has limitations such as a transponder logic unit which is not present in group I. Short range communication is not present in group II.